Docket No.

219922US0CONT

#### PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE IN THE UN

IN RE APPLICATION OF:

Takashi OKAZOE, et al.

10/084,506 SERIAL NO:

GAU:

1621

FILED:

February 28, 2002

EXAMINER: Rosalynd Keys

FOR:

PROCESS FOR PRODUCING A VICDICHLORO ACID FLUORIDE

# ACENTA SO SOG INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT UNDER 37 CFR 1.97

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

SIR:

Applicant(s) wish to disclose the following information.

#### REFERENCES

- The applicant(s) wish to make of record the attached Chinese Office Action; bearing a Mailing Date: November 14, 2003 re: Application No.: 00811990.2.
- A check or credit card payment form is attached in the amount required under 37 CFR §1.17(p).

#### **RELATED CASES**

- Attached is a list of applicant's pending application(s) or issued patent(s) which may be related to the present application. A copy of the patent(s), together with a copy of the claims and drawings of the pending application(s) is attached along with PTO 1449.
- A check or credit card payment form is attached in the amount required under 37 CFR §1.17(p).

#### **CERTIFICATION**

- Each item of information contained in this information disclosure statement was first cited in any communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of this statement.
- ☐ No item of information contained in this information disclosure statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application or, to the knowledge of the undersigned, having made reasonable inquiry, was known to any individual designated in 37 CFR §1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of this statement.

#### DEPOSIT ACCOUNT

Please charge any additional fees for the papers being filed herewith and for which no check or credit card payment is enclosed herewith, or credit any overpayment to deposit account number 15-0030. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Norman F. Oblon

Registration No. 24,618

Customer Number

22850

Tel. (703) 413-3000 Fax. (703) 413-2220 (OSMMN 05/03)

Thomas M. Cunningham Registration No. 45,394

## THE PATENT OFFICE OF THE STATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OFFICE OF THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

Address: No.6 Xi Tucheng Lu, Jimeng Qiao Haidian District, Beijing Post code: 100088 P.O.BOX: Beijing 8020

Shanghai Patent & Trademark Law Office		Date of Dispatch
Shanghai Latent & 11a	demark Law Office	November 14, 2003
Application No.: 00811990.2	Applicant: ASAHI GLASS COMP.	ANVITO
Application Date: August 30, 2000	Agent:	ANT LID.
Title: PROCESS FOR PRODUCING		DE
1	THE FIRST OFFICE ACTION	
(PCT APPL	ICATION IN THE NATIONAL I	PHASE)
provision of Item 1, Article 35 as to Substance on the above me	Substantive Examination raised be of the Patent Law, the Examiner has entioned application for patent for its of the Chinese Patent Law, the Patent Invention.	as proceeded with the Examination avention.
2.  The applicant has requested tha  1999.08.31 at the _JP_ Paten  2000.07.12 at the _JP_ Paten  at the Patent Of	t Office as the priority date, at Office as the priority date,	
to the provision of Article 33 of  The Chinese version of the at  The Chinese version of the at the Patent Cooperation Treaty  The amended document subn Cooperation Treaty.  The amended document subn Regulations of the Patent Law	tachment of the International Prelimmended document submitted according to the provision of mitted according to the provision	ninary Examination Report.  ling to the provision of Rule 19 of  Rule 28 or Rule 41 of the Patent  of Rule 51 of the Implementing
Application submitted.  The examination is conducted by Description, p, the Chinese vers	by directing at the Chinese verse directing at the following applications of the original International Application of the attachment of the International Approximation of the Internationa	on documents: plication Document submitted;
. Report;	ocument submitted according to the	

	•	p, the amended document submit Implementing Regulations of the Paten	tlaw.
		Claims,	
	<u> </u>	, the Chinese version of the original	International Application Document submitted.  Ed document submitted according to the provision of
		Rule 19 of the Patent Cooperation Tr	eaty.
		, the Chinese version of the attachme	ent of the International Preliminary Report.
		, the amended document submitted the Patent Cooperation Treaty.	according to the provision of Rule 28 or Rule 41 of
		•	d according to the provision of Rule 51 of the
		Implementing Regulations of the Pate	•
		Attached Drawings,	in Daw.
		<u> </u>	al International Application Document submitted.
		p, the Chinese version of the attac	hment of the International Preliminary Examination
		•	d according to the provision of Rule 28 or Rule 41 of
		the Patent Cooperation Treaty.	
			ted according to the provision of Rule 51 of the
		Implementing Regulations of the Pa	itent Law.
5.		Notice cites the following Comparison Docu	ument(the number of which shall continue to be used
			Date of Publication (or the filing date of the
•	No.	Number/Title of Document	conflicting Application)
	1	JP2311438A	1990.12.27
	2		
	3		
	4		
6. ′	The cor	nclusive opinion drawn from the examina	tion:
		egards the Specification:	
	TI	he contents of the application fall under the	scope stipulated by Article 5 of the Patent Law for
		nich no patent right should be granted.	
			ovision of Item 3, Article 26 of the Patent Law.
	Tl	ne drafting of the specification does not confe	orm with the provision of Rule 18 of the
	Im	plementing Regulations.	·
İ	⊠As re	egards the Claims:	
	⊠c	laim 11 does not possess the novelty as s	tipulated in Item 2, Article 22 of the Patent Law.
			ss as stipulated in Item 3, Article 22 of the Patent
	I	Law.	
		Plaim does not possess the practical appractical appractical appractical approximation of the practical appractical approximation of the practical ap	oplicability as stipulated in Item 4, Article 22 of the
	С	laim falls under the scope of Article 2	25 of the Patent Law where no patent right is to be
	_	ranted.	vision of Item 4. Article 26 of the Datent I aw
	$\boxtimes$ C	laim _2.11 does not conform with the prov	vision of Item 4, Article 26 of the Patent Law.

	Claim does not conform with the provision of Item 1, Article 31 of the Patent Law.  Claim does not conform with the provision of Item 1, Rule 13 of the Implementing Regulations of the Patent Law.
	Claim does not conform with the provision of Rule 18 of the Implementing Regulations of the Patent Law.
	Claim 1.5.6 does not conform with the provisions of Rules 20 to 23 of the Implementing Regulations of the Patent Law.
	Refer to the text of this Notice for the specific analyses of the conclusive opinion.
7.	Based on the above conclusive opinion, the Examiner deems that:
	The applicant shall amend the application documents in accordance with the requirements raised in the text of the Notice.
	The applicant shall discuss in his observations reasons why this application for patent can be granted a patent right, and amend the portions indicated in the text of the Notice which have been deemed as not conforming with the provisions, or no patent right shall be granted.
	There are no substantive contents in the application for patent that can be granted a patent right. If the applicant does not present reasons or the reasons presented are not sufficient, the application shall be rejected.
8.	The applicant is asked to note the following items:
	(1) According to the provision of Article 37 of the Patent Law, the applicant shall submit his observations within <u>four months</u> from the receipt of this Notice. Where, without justified reasons, the applicant does not respond at the expiration of said date, the application shall be deemed to have been withdrawn.
	(2) The applicant shall amend his application according to Article 33 of the Patent Law. The amended documents shall be in duplicate, and the form, in conformity with the relevant provisions in the Examination Guide.
	(3) The applicant and/or his agent can not, without first making an appointment, go to the Patent Office to have an interview with the Examiner.
	(4) The observations and/or the amended documents shall be mailed or delivered to the Department of Receipt, the Patent Office of the State Intellectual Property Office. No documents shall possess legal effects if not mailed or delivered to the Department of Receipt.
9.	The text of this Notice totals 1 page(s), and includes the following attachment(s):  duplicate copy(ies) of cited comparison document(s), altogether 1 copy(ies) 1 pages.
Exar	mination Department: Examiner(Seal):

020423PW

### The Text of the First Office Action

The present invention relates to a process for producing a vic-dichloro acid fluoride. After examination, the Examiner provides the following opinions.

1. Claim 11 does not have novelty under Item 2, Article 22 of the Patent Law. Claim 11 asks to protect 11 compounds. However, Comparison Document 1 (D1, JP 2311438) has disclosed compound  $CF_2CFYO(CF_2)_4COZ$ , wherein X and Y are Cl, Br or I, Z is F (See Abstract of D1). Therefore, Claim 11 does not have novelty.

Even if the applicant deletes the compounds which do not have novelty, the structures of the remaining compounds are similar to those of D1, and they have the same uses and effects. Therefore, the remaining compounds do not have predominant substantial features nor represent a notable progress. They do not have inventiveness. Therefore, Claim 11 does not have the prospect of being patented.

There are no specific preparation Examples and chemical and physical data to support Compounds 4, 7 and 8 (numbered subsequently). Therefore, these compounds do not accord with Item 3, Article 26 of the Patent Law.

Further, the applicant should also amend the application documents as follows:

- 2. Omitted.
- 3. In line 19 on page 3 of the Chinese text, the "corresponding to" in the sentence "when no hydrogen atom is present in R<sup>H2</sup>, R<sup>H3</sup> or R<sup>HB</sup>, R<sup>F2</sup>, R<sup>F3</sup> or R<sup>FB</sup> is a group corresponding to R<sup>H2</sup>, R<sup>H3</sup> or R<sup>HB</sup> respectively" should be "identical to", thus making the specification in accord with Item 3, Article 26 of the Patent Law. At the same time, the corresponding contents in Claim 1 should be amended to make it conform to Item 1, Rule 20 of the Implementing Regulations.
- 4. The feature of "a molecular weight of the compound (I) is from 200-1000" in Claim 2 does not accord with the descriptions in the specification. Therefore, Claim 2 does not accord with Item 4, Article 26 of the Patent Law.
- 5. Claim 5 and Claim 6 lack the definitions for R<sup>HB</sup> and E<sup>H1</sup>, respectively, resulting in the protection scopes unclear, thus not in accord with Item 1, Rule 20 of the Patent Law. The applicant should define R<sup>HB</sup> and E<sup>H1</sup> according to the way of defining the other groups, such as R<sup>H1</sup> and E<sup>H1</sup>, etc. And, at the same time, the applicant should note that such expression should have been disclosed in the original text.

l

6. The brackets and the contents thereof lead to the protection scope of Claim 1 unclear, thus not in accord with Item 1, Rule 20 of the Patent Law.

The applicant should respond to the Office Action within the time limit, and answer the above questions one by one. When necessary, he should amend the application documents. Otherwise, the present application will be difficult to be patented. The applicant should note that the amendments should accord with Article 33 of the Patent Law, i.e., the amendments should not go beyond the disclosure of the original specification and claims.